# Aquaculture Producer Informational Needs Survey

Connecticut Sea Grant

September 2007

Conducted by



341 Mansfield Road Storrs, CT 06269 860-486-6666

## **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary	1 -
Current and Preferred Sources of Information	2 -
Additional Findings	7 -
Demographics	8 -
Methodology	9 -
Appendix A: Annotated Results	10 -
Appendix B: Open-Ended Responses	26 -

### **Executive Summary**

The Center for Survey Research and Analysis (CSRA) at the University of Connecticut worked with the Connecticut Sea Grant on an Aquaculture Producer Information Needs Survey. The survey is part of a project funded by the USDA Northeastern Regional Aquaculture Center (NRAC). CSRA conducted a survey of aquaculture producers who work in the Northeast via paper and web. A total of 326 aquaculture producers completed the survey between June 11 and September 14, 2007. The purpose of the survey was to gather opinions about aquaculture information needs related to production, marketing, the regulatory process, and business development.

The survey included questions that asked how the aquaculture producer currently obtains needed information, and how they would prefer to obtain it. CSRA and the Connecticut Sea Grant jointly developed the paper survey, which was mailed to over 1,500 current aquaculture producers. The survey contained a link and unique ID number, giving the producer the option to complete the survey on the web.

This section summarizes the key findings of the survey. More detail on these topics can be found in the following sections of this report.

### **Key Findings**

Having various types of information available is important to the operations of aquaculture producers. Information related to the regulatory process is critical (34%) or important (56%) to almost all producers. Next in importance is production information (82%), followed by information about business development (63%), and marketing (50%). Less than 20% of aquaculture producers are very satisfied with the information available to them in their state. The findings suggest improvements can be made related to accessibility of information—half of producers indicate they are only somewhat satisfied, and one-third (33%) of respondents indicate some level of dissatisfaction with information available to them.


Peers are cited as the most commonly used source of information. The state government is seen as the best source of information related to the regulatory process, and is used by some for business development information. One-on-one consultation and group workshops are listed as preferred sources of information related to production, business development, and marketing information. Trade and non-trade publications and industry association newsletters are also cited as potential sources of information.

Eight-six percent of producers say that their state aquaculture association is in existence with 44% of producers citing membership to these associations.

### **Current and Preferred Sources of Information**

There are opportunities for improvement related to the availability of information for aquaculture producers. Less than 20% of aquaculture producers are very satisfied with the information available to them in their state; one-third (33%) of respondents indicate some level of dissatisfaction with information available to them.

Although the majority of aquaculture producers say that information on the regulatory process (90%), production (82%), business development (63%), and marketing (50%) is critical or important to their operation, a third (33%) are not satisfied with the amount of aquaculture information available in their state. Fifteen percent are not satisfied at all.



It is interesting to note that producers in Delaware seem to be the most satisfied with the amount of aquaculture information available to them, whereas Maryland seems to be the least satisfied.

### Aquaculture association membership

Nearly nine in ten (86%) aquatic farmers report that their state aquaculture association is still in existence. Less than half of the respondents (44%) belong to a state aquaculture organization, association, or society. Thirteen percent belong to a regional organization with 14% belonging to a national organization. Only 5% report belonging to an international aquaculture organization.

Prepared by CSRA - 2 - September 2007

### **Regulatory Process**


Nine in ten (90%) aquaculture producers say information on the regulatory process is either critical or important to their operation, with a third (34%) indicating it is critical. Sixty percent of aquaculture producers look to their state government for information on the regulatory process. Other aquaculture producers, the federal government, and extension personnel are seen as other sources of information on the regulatory process for many aquatic farmers. Eighty-four percent look toward the state government as either their first, second, or third source of information on the regulatory process.

Top sources of information on the regulatory process		
First source	State government	60%
Second source	Other aquaculture producers Federal government	18%
Third source	Extension personnel	17%

Thirty-one percent of aquaculture producers would prefer to obtain their information on the regulatory process from regulatory guides or manuals. One in five (20%) prefer industry association newsletters as their second choice, and 18% again prefer a guide or manual as their third choice.

Top preferred sources of information on the regulatory process		
First preferred way Regulatory guide or manual 31		31%
Second preferred way Industry association newsletter 2		20%
Third preferred way Regulatory guide or manual 18%		

## How important is information on the regulatory process for your operation?



#### **Production**


Eight in ten (82%) aquaculture producers say production information is either critical or important to their operation. Most aquaculture producers look to other aquatic producers or industry suppliers as their main sources for information on production. It is interesting to note that 13% of producers mention extension personnel as a second source of information. Six in ten (62%) of producers look to their peers as either their first, second, or third source of production information.

Top sources of production information		
First source Other aquaculture producers 40%		
Second source	Industry suppliers	14%
Third source	Other aquaculture producers	11%

When asked how they would prefer to receive information related to production, 31% of aquaculture producers identify one-on-one consultation as their first preferred way. Group workshops and non-trade publications are also preferred sources for many for production information. Non-trade (15%) is the top third preferred source for production information.

Top preferred sources of production information		
First preferred way One-on-one consultation 31%		
Second preferred way	Group workshop	20%
Third preferred way	Non-trade publication	15%

#### How important is production information for your operation?




### **Business Development**


Sixty-three percent of aquaculture producers say business development information is either critical or important to their operation. Sixteen percent of aquaculture producers turn to their peers as their first source of information on business development. The state government, extension personnel, and industry associations are also widely cited sources of business development information for many aquaculture producers. It should be noted that 27% of aquaculture producers say that business development is not applicable to their job.

Top sources of business development information		
First source	Other aquaculture producers	16%
Second source	Other aquaculture producers State government	12%
Third source	Extension personnel	8%

Aquaculture producers prefer to obtain their information on business development from one-on-one consultation, group workshops, and industry association newsletters. Once again, one-quarter (25%) of aquaculture producers say that obtaining business development information is not applicable to their job.

Top preferred sources of business development information			
First preferred way One-on-one consultation 20%			
Second preferred way	Group workshop	13%	
Third preferred way	Industry association newsletter Internet search	10%	





### Marketing


Half of aquaculture producers (50%) say marketing information is either critical or important to their operation; about one in five (18%) note it is not at all important. Most aquaculture producers turn to fellow colleagues and industry suppliers for information on marketing; 42% turn to their colleagues as either their first, second, or third source of information on marketing. Over one-third of aquaculture producers (34%) responding to the survey say that marketing is not applicable to their job.

Top sources of marketing information		
First source	Other aquaculture producers	23%
Second source	Other aquaculture producers	11%
Third source	Other aquaculture producers	7%

One-on-one consultation, group workshops, and trade publications top the list of preferred sources of information on marketing for most aquaculture producers. Nine percent mention non-trade publications or association newsletters as their third preferred way of obtaining marketing information. Once again, almost one-third (32%) note that marketing is not applicable to their job.

Top preferred sources of marketing information		
First preferred way One-on-one consultation 19%		
Second preferred way	Group workshop	13%
Third preferred way	Trade publication	10%

#### How important is marketing information for your operation?



## **Additional Findings**

Production of organisms

Of the total responses when asking what species are you presently working with:

- 48% are finfish
- 45% are shellfish
- 3% are invertebrates
- 2% are plants or algae
- 2% do not fall into any of these categories

When asking what other species would you be interested in working with:

- 41% are shellfish
- 21% are finfish
- 21% are invertebrates
- 9% are plants or algae
- 7% do not fall into any of these categories

Massachusetts and New Jersey subgroup analysis

Massachusetts and New Jersey were the top two responding states to the survey (28% and 15%, respectively). Due to the small sample sizes, these states were the only ones that could be examined and compared through subgroup analysis. Findings of note are listed below.

- New Jersey producers are less likely to be very satisfied and more likely to be not too satisfied with the amount of aquaculture information available in their state compared to Massachusetts producers.
- Aquaculture producers in New Jersey are more likely to report that production information is critical to their operation compared to Massachusetts producers.
- Producers in Massachusetts are more likely to find information on business development as either critical or important to their operation compared to New Jersey producers.

## **Demographics**

### Facts of note:

- 27% of producers that responded to the survey work in Massachusetts
- 15% are from New Jersey
- 64% are commercial producers
- 64% culture their organisms for food
- 46% produce the Eastern Clam
- 29% produce the Northern Quahog Clam

When asked what method they use for hatchery:

- 37% report using land-based hatcheries
- 20% use recirculating tanks

Almost one-quarter (24%) report using ADPI bags during the nursery stage of production.

### During grow-out:

- Nearly three in ten (29%) use ADPI bags
- 28% use shellfish cages

Twenty-six percent report using recirculating tanks during the depuration stage.

Most aquaculture producers responding to the survey have been in business for over a decade (53%) and typically employ five people or less (87%).

## Methodology

The Connecticut Sea Grant commissioned the Center for Survey Research and Analysis (CSRA) at the University of Connecticut to conduct a paper and Internet survey of Northeast aquaculture producers to assess their informational needs. CSRA mailed paper surveys to 1507 current aquaculture producers. The paper survey included the web address and a unique ID number, should the producer prefer to complete the survey via the Internet.

To encourage a high return rate, a pre-notification postcard was mailed to producers one week prior to the first survey mailing (June 11). To increase response rates, a second survey packet was mailed to non-respondents on July 24th. A total of 326 surveys were completed by the aquaculture producers, a 22% rate of response. SPSS software was used to analyze the dataset.

The survey has a margin of error of +/-4.8 percentage points with a 95% level of confidence and a finite population. This means that there is less than a one in twenty chance that the findings will deviate more than  $\pm$  4.8 percentage points from the actual population parameters. This error could be larger for subgroups.

Appendix A contains detailed results for each question asked in the survey. Openended responses to question can be found in Appendix B.

## **Appendix A: Annotated Results**

Q1. In what state do you primarily work in the field of aquaculture?

	Total	Count
Massachusetts	28%	89
New Jersey	15%	50
Pennsylvania	11%	34
Maryland	11%	34
Maine	10%	32
Connecticut	9%	30
New York	6%	19
Rhode Island	4%	12
West Virginia	4%	12
New Hampshire	2%	5
Vermont	2%	5
Delaware	1%	2
Count		324

## Q2. What is your primary role in the field of aquaculture?

	Total	Count
Commercial producer	64%	198
Research/Education	10%	30
Retailer	7%	21
Wholesaler	4%	13
Shellfish Commission/Constable/Warden	4%	12
Processor	1%	2
Not Listed	11%	33
Count		308

## Q3. For what purpose(s) do you culture your organisms?

	Total	Count
Food	64%	188
Stock enhancement	20%	58
Research/Education	14%	42
Habitat restoration	11%	32
Bait	9%	27
Fee fishing	9%	26
Ornamental	7%	21
Not listed	7%	21

Q4. From the list below, please mark all the species that you presently work with:

	Total	Count
Oyster, Eastern	47%	151
Clam, Northern Quahog	31%	101
Trout, Rainbow	15%	47
Clam, Soft-Shell	12%	37
Trout, Brook	11%	35
Bass, Largemouth	9%	30
Trout, Brown	9%	30
Scallop, Bay	8%	27
Minnow, Fathead	7%	21
Shiner, Golden	6%	20
Catfish, Channel	6%	18
Sunfish, Bluegill (or hybrid)	5%	16
Mussel, Blue	5%	16
Perch, Yellow	5%	15
Bass, Striped	5%	15
Goldfish	4%	14
Plants, Aquatic	4%	14
Carp, Grass	3%	9
Tilapia, Nile	3%	9
Bullhead, Brown	3%	9
Bullfrog	3%	9
Bass, Smallmouth	3%	8
Crappie, Black	3%	8
Salmon, Atlantic	3%	8
Walleye	3%	8
Crab, Blue	2%	7

	Total	Count
Scallop, Sea	2%	7
Catfish, Blue	2%	6
Lobster, American	2%	6
Oyster, European Flat	1%	4
Bass, Black Sea	1%	4
Bullhead, Black	1%	4
Flounder, Summer	1%	4
Char, Arctic	1%	3
Crappie, White	1%	3
Shrimp, White	1%	3
Carp, Common	1%	2
Barramundi	1%	2
Mummichog	1%	2
Flounder, Winter	1%	2
Sucker, White	1%	2
Bass, White	0%	1
Bullhead, Yellow	0%	1
Cod, Atlantic	0%	1
Other	20%	65

# Q4. From the list below, please mark all the species that you presently work with:

	Total	Count
Finfish	48%	366
Shellfish	46%	346
Invertebrates	3%	22
Plants/algae	2%	15
Other	1%	11

## Q5. Is there a species <u>not</u> listed above that you would be interested in working with in the future?

	Total	Count
Yes	24%	65
No	76%	207
Count		272

# Q6. What species, <u>not</u> listed above, would you be interested in working with?

Note: Detailed results are provided in Appendix B.

	Total	Count
Shellfish	41%	23
Finfish	21%	12
Invertebrates	21%	12
Plants/algae	9%	5
Other	7%	4
Count		56

## Q7. What culture method(s) do you currently use?

		Hatchery	Nursery	Grow-Out	Depuration
ADPI bag or similar		4%	24%	29%	9%
Ca	ount	5	40	78	3
Cage, Shellfish		2%	19%	28%	18%
Ca	ount	3	31	75	6
Collection, Shellfish larvae/spar		7%	7%	4%	3%
Co	ount	9	11	12	1
Float, Shellfish		1%	10%	10%	
Co	ount	2	16	28	0
Collection, finfish egg/fingerling/fry		5%	2%	3%	
Ca	ount	7	4	7	0
Hatchery, land-based		37%	11%	5%	6%
Ca	ount	51	18	14	2
Longline, Shellfish		1%	2%	6%	
Ca	ount	1	3	15	0
Net Pen			1%	4%	
Ca	ount	0	1	10	0
Nets, Lantern/Pearl			3%	3%	
Ca	ount	0	5	8	0
On-bottom, Shellfish (no gear)		1%	4%	24%	24%
Ca	ount	2	6	65	8
On-bottom, Shellfish (predator nettir	ng)	3%	9%	23%	6%
Co	ount	4	15	63	2

	Hatchery	Nursery	Grow-Out	Depuration
Pond, Open	17%	11%	17%	12%
Count	23	18	45	4
Pond, Cage Culture	1%	2%	4%	
Count	1	4	12	0
Purchase, Shellfish Larvae	14%	6%	3%	
Count	19	10	7	0
Purchase, Finfish egg/fingerling/fry	6%	1%	2%	
Count	8	1	6	0
Raceway	15%	10%	6%	9%
Count	21	16	17	3
Raft, Shellfish	1%	5%	6%	3%
Count	2	9	15	1
Tank, single-pass	14%	8%	6%	18%
Count	19	13	15	6
Tank, recirculating	20%	20%	12%	26%
Count	28	32	33	9
Tray, Shellfish	1%	8%	10%	3%
Count	2	13	28	1
Upweller, Floating	4%	21%	4%	
Count	5	35	12	0
Upweller, Land-based	7%	13%	1%	
Count	10	21	3	0
Total	137	164	270	34

Q8. Including yourself, how many people (part-time, full-time, and seasonal) do you typically employ at this location?

	Total	Count
1-5	87%	265
6-10	10%	31
11-50	2%	7
51+	1%	2
Count		305

### Q9. How many years have you been in business?

	Total	Count
Less than 1 year	3%	10
1 to 5 years	23%	71
6 to 10 years	21%	65
More than 10 years	53%	166
Count	312	

## Q10. Which aquaculture organizations, associations, or societies, if any, do you belong to?

	Total	Count	
State Organizations	44%	114	
Regional Organizations	13%	42	
National Organizations	14%	45	
International Organizations	5%	16	
I do not belong to any aquaculture organizations, associations, or societies	56%	145	
Count	Count		

Q11. From the following possibilities, please select the top three sources where you currently obtain information related to <u>PRODUCTION</u> (e.g., new growing practices, new gear)?

	1st Source	Count	2 <sup>nd</sup> Source	Count	3 <sup>rd</sup> Source	Count
Other aquaculture producers	40%	115	11%	32	11%	32
Extension personnel	10%	28	13%	37	8%	24
State Government	10%	28	12%	36	6%	17
Industry suppliers	8%	23	14%	40	7%	21
Municipal or City Government	5%	13	2%	6	4%	13
Researcher personnel	5%	13	9%	26	7%	19
Federal Government	3%	8	4%	11	4%	11
Industry association	3%	8	9%	27	9%	27
Non-government organization	2%	6	2%	7	7%	9
Other	6%	17	1%	3	3%	8
Not applicable to my job	10%	30				

Q12. From the following list of possibilities, please select the top three ways you would *prefer* to obtain information related to <u>PRODUCTION</u> (e.g., new growing practices, new gear)?

	1 <sup>st</sup> Preferred Way	Count	2 <sup>nd</sup> Preferred Way	Count	3 <sup>rd</sup> Preferred Way	Count
One-on-one consultation	31%	90	5%	14	5%	16
Trade publication	16%	47	13%	39	12%	36
Group workshop	11%	33	20%	58	7%	21
Internet search	9%	25	9%	26	14%	41
E-mail listserv	6%	20	6%	19	5%	15
Non-trade publication	6%	19	11%	31	15%	43
Industry association newsletter	5%	16	10%	30	9%	27
Instructional DVD	2%	7	5%	15	4%	13
Podcast		0	0%	1		0
Other	2%	5	1%	3		0
Not applicable to my job	11%	33				

Q13. From the following list of possibilities, please select the top three sources where you currently obtain information related to <u>MARKETING</u> (e.g. packaging, labeling, value-added products).

	1 <sup>st</sup> Source	Count	2 <sup>nd</sup> Source	Count	3 <sup>rd</sup> Source	Count
Other aquaculture producers	23%	68	12%	35	7%	21
State Government	9%	25	5%	14	4%	13
Industry association	9%	27	8%	24	4%	12
Industry suppliers	6%	17	11%	31	6%	18
Extension personnel	5%	16	7%	20	6%	18
Municipal or City Government	3%	9	3%	8	2%	7
Non-government organization	2%	5	2%	5	6%	17
Federal Government	2%	5	2%	5	1%	4
Researcher personnel	0%	1	1%	4	4%	11
Other	7%	20	2%	5	1%	3
Not applicable to my job	34%	101				

Q14. From the following list of possibilities, please select the top three ways you would *prefer* to obtain information related to <u>MARKETING</u> (e.g., packaging, labeling, value-added products).

	1 <sup>st</sup> Preferred Way	Count	2 <sup>nd</sup> Preferred Way	Count	3 <sup>rd</sup> Preferred Way	Count
One-on-one consultation	19%	56	4%	12	4%	12
Trade publication	13%	38	11%	32	10%	28
Industry association newsletter	8%	24	8%	24	8%	22
Group workshop	8%	23	13%	37	6%	16
E-mail listserv	7%	19	4%	13	3%	10
Internet search	5%	15	5%	14	7%	21
Non-trade publication	4%	12	9%	25	8%	22
Instructional DVD	1%	4	3%	8	4%	12
Podcast		0	0%	1	0%	1
Other	2%	5		0	0%	1
Not applicable to my job	32%	93				

Q15. From the following list of possibilities, please select the top three sources where you currently obtain information related to the <u>REGULATORY PROCESS</u> (e.g. permitting, policy).

	1st Source	Count	2 <sup>nd</sup> Source	Count	3 <sup>rd</sup> Source	Count
State Government	60%	177	17%	50	7%	21
Municipal or City Government	11%	33	7%	20	5%	16
Other aquaculture producers	9%	25	18%	54	16%	47
Industry association	6%	17	9%	27	10%	30
Federal Government	3%	10	18%	54	9%	27
Extension personnel	3%	10	8%	23	17%	49
Other	1%	4	1%	3	1%	3
Not applicable to my job	6%	19				

Q16. From the following list of possibilities, please select the top three ways you would *prefer* to obtain information related to <u>REGULATORY PROCESS</u> (e.g. permitting, policy).

	1 <sup>st</sup> Preferred Way	Count	2 <sup>nd</sup> Preferred Way	Count	3 <sup>rd</sup> Preferred Way	Count
Regulatory guide or manual	31%	91	15%	45	18%	53
One-on-one consultation	23%	67	4%	12	8%	23
Industry association newsletter	12%	35	20%	57	11%	33
Group workshop	10%	29	13%	37	10%	28
E-mail listserv	9%	26	11%	33	8%	23
Internet search	4%	12	11%	32	9%	25
Other	2%	6	0%	1	1%	2
Not applicable to my job	9%	25				

Q17. From the following list of possibilities, please select the top three sources where you currently obtain information related to <u>BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT</u> (e.g., crop insurance, grants, loans).

	1 <sup>st</sup> Source	Count	2 <sup>nd</sup> Source	Count	3 <sup>rd</sup> Source	Count
Other aquaculture producers	16%	46	12%	34	7%	22
State Government	15%	44	12%	34	5%	16
Extension personnel	13%	39	10%	29	8%	25
Industry association	9%	25	11%	31	7%	21
Municipal or City Government	5%	15	2%	5	5%	14
Non-government organization	5%	14	2%	7	4%	12
Federal Government	5%	14	7%	22	6%	17
Industry suppliers	3%	8	4%	12	4%	12
Researcher personnel	1%	3	2%	7	4%	13
Other	2%	6	1%	2	1%	4
Not applicable to my job	27%	80				

Q18. From the following list of possibilities, please select the top three ways you would *prefer* to obtain information related to <u>BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT</u> (e.g., crop insurance, grants, loans).

	1st Preferred Way	Count	2 <sup>nd</sup> Preferred Way	Count	3 <sup>rd</sup> Preferred Way	Count
One-on-one consultation	20%	58	4%	11	4%	11
Group workshop	13%	37	13%	38	7%	19
Trade publication	13%	38	10%	30	8%	24
Industry association newsletter	8%	23	10%	30	10%	29
E-mail listserv	8%	23	7%	21	7%	21
Internet search	4%	12	7%	19	10%	29
Non-trade publication	4%	10	8%	22	7%	21
Instructional DVD	4%	10	5%	14	2%	6
Podcast		0	0%	1	1%	2
Other	2%	6	1%	2	0%	1
Not applicable to my job	25%	71				

### Q19. Is your state aquaculture association still in existence?

	Total	Count
Yes	86%	216
No	14%	35
Count	251	

## Q20. Overall, how satisfied are you with the aquaculture information available in your state?

	Total	Count
Very satisfied	18%	53
Somewhat satisfied	50%	150
Not too satisfied	18%	55
Not satisfied at all	15%	44
Count	302	

### IQ21. How important are the following types of information for your operation?

## Q21. Production (e.g. information on new growing practices, new gear)

	Total	Count
Critical	21%	67
Important	61%	197
Not Important	13%	41
Not at all Important	5%	17
Count		322

## Q22. Marketing (e.g. information on packaging, labeling, value-added products)

	Total	Count
Critical	11%	35
Important	39%	125
Not Important	32%	104
Not at all Important	18%	57
Count	321	

## Q23. Regulatory Process (e.g. permitting, policy)

	Total	Count
Critical	34%	109
Important	56%	180
Not Important	7%	21
Not at all Important	4%	12
Count	322	

### Q24. Business Development (e.g., crop insurance, grants, loans)

	Total	Count
Critical	15%	48
Important	48%	154
Not Important	24%	77
Not at all Important	12%	39
Count	318	

Q25. How often do you use the internet to search for aquaculture information?

	Total	Count
Multiple times a day	5%	16
Once a day	4%	12
A few times a week	17%	54
Once a week	12%	38
Less than once a week	46%	148
I do not have access to the Internet	16%	51
Count		319

## Q26. How often do you check e-mail?

	Total	Count
Multiple times a day	32%	102
Once a day	23%	73
A few times a week	16%	51
Once a week	5%	17
Less than once a week	7%	22
I do not have and e-mail account	17%	56
Count		321

Q27. Which of the following workshops or conferences on aquaculture do you attend?

	Total	Count
Milford Aquaculture Seminar	16%	48
Northeast Aquaculture Conference & Exposition (NACE)	13%	41
Fish Expo	13%	40
Maryland Waterman's Show	11%	33
Maine Fisherman's Forum	5%	15
Recirculating Aquaculture Conference	4%	12
Annual Meeting of the National Shellfisheries Association	4%	13
Northeast Fish and Wildlife Conference	2%	5
Annual Meeting of the US Aquaculture Society	2%	6
Annual Meeting of the American Fisheries Society	2%	5
Annual Meeting of the National Aquaculture Association	1%	4
Other	18%	54
I do not attend workshops or conferences on aquaculture.	47%	143
Count	307	

## **Appendix B: Open-Ended Responses**

### Q4. What species do you currently work with?

Alewife, shad

American shod

Atlantic Sturgeon

Black banded sunfish

Blue fin

Blue gill (4 mentions)

Blue tilapia

Clam, Razor

Common shiners (2 mentions)

Coral, Marine Ornamentals

Crawfish

Crayfish (6 mentions)

Eastern silvery minnows

Eel

Fathead minnow

Freshwater

Giant Rosenbergii Prawn/freshwater

Gigas oyster

Golden Pond Shiner

Golden Trout

**Guppies** 

Horseshoe Crab, American

Jonah Crabs

Koi (12 mentions)

Lake Trout, Lake sturgeon

Macroalgae (2 mentions) & Microalgae Marine

Ornamentals (2 mentions)

**Predators** 

Rainbow smelt (3 mentions)

Red Claw Crayfish

Sand Worms (2 mentions)

Sea Urchins

Sikamega oyster

Snails

Snapping turtles

Surf clam (2 mentions)

Tiger trout

**Turtles** 

White perch

### Q6. What species, <u>not</u> listed above, would you be interested in working with?

American eel

Asian oysters (2 mentions)

Bait fish (Emerald shiners, Creek chubs)

Blood worms (2 mentions)

Blue back trout

Blue crab,

Butter clams

Corydoras

Cod

Crayfish

Eastern oyster

Eel

Haddock

Horseshoe crab (2 mentions)

Israeli carp

Kumamoto oysters

Muskellunge

Northern Quahog oyster(2 mentions)

Ornamentals

Oyster, European flat

Oysters

Pickerel

Rainbow smelt

Razor clam (12 mentions)

Red cherry shrimp

Sea scallops

Sea urchin (4 mentions)

Sea worms

Seaweed (5 mentions)

Shrimp

Smallmouth buffalo or possibly blow mouth

Snails

Sturgeon (3 mentions)

Surf clam (5 mentions)

Tautog

Trout

## Q10. Which aquaculture organizations, associations, or societies, if any, do you belong to?

State Organizations

Aquaculture Council of Pennsylvania Agricultural Industries

Cape Cod Farm Bureau

Capital Cichlid Association

Connecticut Guilford Shellfish Commission

Connecticut Seafood Council (2 mentions)

**Cornell Marine Extension** 

DE Aquaculture Association

East End Marine Farmer's Association (5 mentions)

Florida Tropical Fish Farming Assoc.

MAA (10 mentions)

Maine Aquaculture Association (12 mentions)

Maine Aquaculture innovation Center

Maine Ice Anglers Association

Maryland Waterman (2 mentions)

Massachusetts Aqua Growers

Massachusetts Aquaculture Association (30 mentions)

Massachusetts Farm Bureau

Massachusetts Farm Bureau (2 mentions)

Massachusetts Shellfish Officers Association (2 mentions)

MOGG

**MSGA** 

**NEMAC** 

New Hampshire Aquaculture Association (2 mentions)

New Jersey Aquaculture Association (3 mentions)

New Jersey Department of Agriculture (2 mentions)

New Jersey Farm Bureau

New Jersey Planters & Packers Association (2 mentions)

New Jersey Seafood Association

New Jersey Shellfish Association (8 mentions)

Ocean State Aquaculture Association (6 mentions)

Pennsylvania Aquaculture Association (10 mentions)

Pennsylvania Trout Farmers

Potomac Valley Aquarium Society

Salem State, Salem MA & UMASS, Amherst MA

SEMAC (6 mentions)

Trout organization

Wellport

West Virginia Aquaculture Association (6 mentions)

Western Massachusetts Center Sustainable Aquaculture

### Regional Organizations

Barnstable

Boothbay Region Land Trust

Delaware Bay Oyster Planters and Packers Association

East Coast Shellfish Growers Association (30 mentions)

Freeport Shellfish Conservation Commission

**ISS** 

Northeastern Regional Aquaculture Association (2 mentions)

PCSGA

Pennsylvania Trout Farmers

Plymouth County Farm Bureau

West Virginia

### National Organizations

American Fisheries Society (10 mentions)

Aquaculture Engineering Society

**Conservation District** 

Farm Bureau

**ISSC** 

**MAICC** 

National Aquaculture Association (5 mentions)

National Association of Pond Professionals

National Fisheries Institute

National Shellfisheries Association (16 mentions)

US Trout Farmers Association (9 mentions)

#### International Organizations

Canadian Aquaculture Association (3 mentions)

EAS

GAA

IAA

International Water Gardening Society (2 mentions)

Mail-order Gardening Association

**SOTA** 

World Aquaculture Association (11 mentions)

### Q27. Which of the following workshops or conferences on aquaculture do you attend?

Annual Gathering of Shellfish Commissioners

Annual Striped Bass for Penn Farm Bureau

Aquaculture America (2 mentions)

Boston Seafood Show (5 mentions)

Connecticut Shellfish Commission Meetings

Delaware Bay Shellfish Council Meetings

**Delaware Extension Class** 

**Extension Seminars** 

Hasken Research Lab.

International Shellfish Restoration Conference

ISSC (3 mentions)

**IWGS** 

Local workshops (4 mentions)

Marine Aquarium Conference of North America

Maryland Aquaculture Coordinating Council

Massachusetts Aquaculture Association meetings (4 mentions)

Massachusetts Lobstermen's Show

Massachusetts Shellfish Officers Association

NC State

New Hampshire Aquaculture Association

Northeast Farmed Fish Health Management Workshop (2 mentions)

NRAC (2 mentions)

OCC

Pennsylvania Aquaculture Conference (3 mentions)

Sportsman Show

Stock Assessment Workshop Meetings

UMass Aquaculture Meetings

**UNH Extension Workshops** 

United States Trout Farmers Association (5 mentions)

WV Aquaculture Association

WAS (3 mentions)